





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/489,254	01/21/2000	Robert Wesley Bossemeyer JR.	AMT-9704C	5614	
7590 10/05/2004			EXAMINER		
Law Office of Dale B. Halling			OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N		
24 S Weber Street Suite 311		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Colorado Springs, CO 80903			2655		

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	Advisory Action	09/489,254	BOSSEMEYER ET	AL.
Auvisory Action		Examiner	Art Unit	
	,	Michael N. Opsasnick	2655	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPL Therefore, final rejecti condition fo	LY FILED 26 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE To further action by the applicant is required to avoid under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 or allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeadon (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	THIS APPLICATION IN CONDIT void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	TION FOR ALLOWA ation. A proper repl n places the applica	NCE. y to a ition in
		EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
b) The notation of the notatio	ne period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A of event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire NLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 26.07(f). Ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The in filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official of the period of the control of the	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin is FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final reject IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The apporting or the fee. The apportinally set in the final	ion. See MPEP copriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
37 0	otice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		
	proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
,	they raise new issues that would require furth		see NOTE below);	
` ' —	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note t			
—	they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) 🗌	they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	IS.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	•		
3. App	licant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):		
	vly proposed or amended claim(s) would celing the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
	a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo olication in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NC	T place the
	affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becomed by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which wer	e newly
	purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment planation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The	status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Cla	im(s) allowed:			
	im(s) objected to:			
	im(s) rejected: <u>22-31</u> .			
	im(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note	e the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Oth		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	·			
		-		

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amended claim language, changed to "preliminary verification decisions", requires further consideration and search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: although the propsed claim amendment changes the scope of the claims, the Hakaridani reference can still possibly read on 'preliminary verification decision', however, changing the claim language to 'preliminary speaker verification decision' would overcome the Hakaridani reference.

TALIVALDIS IVARS SMITS
PRIMARY EXAMINER